•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Interview Summary	(59/589, 388	Na Kashiba eta
	Examiner C NGV (C)	Art Unit
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) 6. NOUYER)	(3) Ma. Yuschi (4) Mr. Hidoki	tkai
(2) Mr. Nils Pederson.	(4) Mn. Hideki	lakajanage.
Date of Interview: 11/6/02		
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2) applicant's representati	ve]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) 🖸 No.	
Claim(s) discussed: of Record,		
Identification of prior art discussed: Of Re wrol		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.	g) was not reached. h)	□ N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments:	l nature of what was agreed t	o if an agreement was
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amen allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	copy of the amendments that	greed would render the claims would render the claims
 i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a schecked). 	eparate record of the substar	ce of the interview(if box is
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FOI MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONI STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW reverse side or on attached sheet.	E MONTH FROM THIS INTE	RVIEW DATE TO FILE A
reverse side or on attached sheet. The examiner indicated that to distinguish over Hoshirak zearch and consultation of the shirak and consultation of the	t all independent i et al. 1530.	further
search and consultation of	Etter homary &	o, might be
required.		
·		
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's sig	gnature, if required